

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/773,395	0	02/06/2004	Melissa Cohen-Fyffe	BABE-2	6340
	7590	12/14/2004		EXAMINER	
Pandiscio & 470 Totten Po		io	WHITE, RODNEY BARNETT		
Waltham, MA 02154				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3636	

DATE MAILED: 12/14/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office A	ction Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/13/04.	Paper No(s)/M	mary (PTO-413) ail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152)
application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•
2. Certified copies of the priority document3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority	• •	
1. Certified copies of the priority document		
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	r priority under 33 0.0.0. § 11	19(a)-(a) or (i).
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. & 11	19(a)-(d) or (f)
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	· ·	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplished any not request that any objection to the	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examino		Ab a Francisco
Application Papers		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are rejected.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.	
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are pending in the application	1.	
Disposition of Claims		
closed in accordance with the practice under	•	• •
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		, prosecution as to the merits is
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>22 S</u> 2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	September 2004. s action is non-final.	
Status		
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS e, cause the application to become ABANI	0) days will be considered timely. 6 from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MON	ITH(S) FROM
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with t	the correspondence address
	Rodney B. White	3636
Office Action Summary	10/773,395 Examiner	COHEN-FYFFE, MELISSA Art Unit
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	Application No	Applicant(s)

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claims4 and 6 are objected to because of the following informalities: In claims 4 and 6, Applicant includes the term "Velcro", which should be removed because trademarked terms are not acceptable in claim language. The term "hook and loop closures" will suffice. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical

. Application/Control Number: 10/773,395

Art Unit: 3636

Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000.

Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Allbaugh (U.S. Patent No. 6,428,098).

Allbaugh teaches the structure as claimed (See Figures 1-14, especially Fig. 13, and the specification).

Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Cameron (U.S. Design Patent No. D465,959).

Cameron teaches the structure as claimed (See Figures 1-6 and the specification).

Claims 1-2 and 9-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Cohen-Fyffe (U.S. Patent No. 6,129,417).

Cohen-Fyffe teaches the structure as claimed (See Figures 1-6 and the specification).

Claims 1-2 and 7-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Aprile (U.S. Patent No. 6,237,998).

Application/Control Number: 10/773,395

Art Unit: 3636

Aprile teaches the structure as claimed (See Figures 1-6 and the specification).

Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Digangi (U.S. Patent No. 6,491,996 B2).

Digangi teaches the structure as claimed (See Figures 1-6 and the specification).

Claims 1-3, 5, 7-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Endicott et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,702,381).

Endicott et al teaches the structure as claimed (See Figures 1-6 and the specification).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 22-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Allbaugh.

Allbaugh teaches the obvious use of the structures as claimed.

Claims 22-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cameron.

Cameron teaches the obvious use of the structures as claimed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rodney B. White whose telephone number is (703) 308-2276.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Cuomo can be reached on (703) 308-0827. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Rodney B. White, Patent Examiner Art Unit 3636 December 10, 2004

RODNE B. WHITE